

**From:** Eliza Funa  
**To:** Microsoft ATR  
**Date:** 1/15/02 6:16am  
**Subject:** Microsoft Settlement

Dear Judge Kollar-Kotally,

I am against the proposed final judgment. Over the past several years, the Court has found Microsoft guilty of all misdeeds. Nevertheless, the Proposed Final Judgment dismisses all previous court findings indicting Microsoft. The PFJ allows Microsoft to continue with its monopolistic and predatory practices, which in my opinion is a detriment not only to the software sector but also to the technology industry as a whole. Without a doubt, I strongly believe you will receive thousands of similar appeals encompassing the many flaws that are apparent in the proposed final settlement. My main focus entails one fundamental flaw clearly noticeable in the proposed settlement: The PFJ does not effectively break up Microsoft, but in fact allows Microsoft to leverage its current market position, or should I say, Monopoly to expand its business into several other technology markets. Under the general rule, most monopolies in the past are either broken up or carefully regulated. Unfortunately, Microsoft is given a pardon to this general rule of thumb. Also, admonishing Microsoft will not radically alter Microsoft's existing operation methodologies. With out a doubt, Microsoft will continue to abuse its monopoly position at the expense of others. Unless the court breaks up Microsoft into several parts, Microsoft will continue on with its illegal practices. In conclusion, I object the Proposed Final Judgment..

Respectfully,

Liz Ageri

San Francisco, CA